

## Instructions for advance review by the examining committee

Doctoral student:

Department:

Thesis title:

Planned date of public defence:

The Disciplinary Domain of Medicine and Pharmaceutics calls attention to the following questions as essential to determining whether a thesis meets the quality standards that have been set. The members of the examining committee should individually express if they think that the constituent papers meet the question criteria below, if they do not meet the question criteria, or if there is some doubt as to whether the question criteria have been met. The members of the examining committee are to notify the coordinator of their views. The examining committee will then arrive at a joint recommendation, which the coordinator compiles and sends to the Committee for Third-Cycle Education. The coordinator is responsible for obtaining the members' views and for compiling the recommendation, which is to be received by the Committee for Doctoral Studies within 10 working days.

It should be noted that a positive opinion in the advance review does not mean that the thesis will pass the public defence. A positive opinion from the review means that the doctoral student's scholarly work is of such quality and of such scope that it may be defended at a public defence.

- Is the doctoral student's performance of such scope and quality as should be expected from four years of doctoral studies?
- Were the reviewed material and control material appropriately selected and of a sufficient scope?
- Were the investigatory and processing methods well-chosen with respect to the question at hand?
- Are the conclusions drawn by the author scientifically well-founded?
- Does the author's introduction and discussion show scientific rigour?
- Are the thesis/constituent papers of such quality that they can be deemed to meet the reasonable requirements for publication in an international peer-reviewed scholarly journal?

Individual statement of reasons: